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#### Abstract

For a no periodically, rapid damped, evolution of reaction between $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, the paper proposes a variant of numerical modelling and simulation, based on two exponential functions. The method assures a good approximation of the experimental solution, with a remarkable flexibility for analyses and synthesis elaborated in the paper.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Reactions between thiosulfate and ions of metallic elements in solution have been repeatedly studied, several papers being published, for instance (Bâldea I. et al., 1968, 1970), (Niac G. et al., 1962, 1971), (Cădariu I. et al., 1962).

The kinetics of the redox reaction between copper and thiosulfate ions as well as the formation of an uncharged intermediate complex, $\mathrm{CuS}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, was investigated. The reaction order, with respect to the intermediate complex in equilibrium with the reactants, depends upon the concentrations of the reactants.

The kinetics of fading away of the color of this complex has been traced spectrophotometrically with a stopped-flow apparatus (Ungureşan M.L., 2003, 2005).

The experiments realized with mixing chamber for a pomp's time $<0,5 \mathrm{~s}$ have allowed recording on the oscilloscope of the curves transmitted light intensity at the apparition of reaction intermediate as well as of its disappearance, for different reactant's concentrations. (fig. 1)

## 2. ANALOGICAL MODELLING

The qualitative form of the signal experimentally increased $y=y(t)$ in fig. 1 contains two extremes, in the moments $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}\right)$, and then it is followed by
an a decreasing asymptotic evolution towards the value ( $\mathrm{y}_{00}$ ) that corresponds also to the starting value $\mathrm{y}_{00}=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{o})$, as well as to the value $\mathrm{y}_{00}=\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\beta}$ (Ungureşan M.L., et all., 2005).


Fig. 1. Transmitted light intensity $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{mV})$ as a function of time $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{ms})$ at $\left[\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)^{2-}\right]=0,005 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=0,005 \mathrm{M}$

The paper tries to approximate the experimental signal $y(t)$ through:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \approx y_{00}+y^{\prime}(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=y_{1}(t)+y_{2}(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is considered that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(t)=-A_{1} \cdot t^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{t}{T_{1}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes the parameters $\left(\mathrm{t}^{\tau_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{t}^{\tau_{2}}\right)$, less usual for exponential functions, by forcing behaviors more nonlinear (Bellomo N. et al., 1995).

As a result, in order to be able to approximate the experimental signal (1), respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the unknowns $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ we will calculate and approximate from the following equations system (Bronstein I.N. , et all., 1974):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}{ }^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}} \\
\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha}=-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot\left(\tau_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+ \\
+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot\left(\tau_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{2}}=0  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{y}_{\beta}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\beta}{ }^{\tau_{1} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\beta}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\beta}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\beta}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}}=\mathrm{y}_{00}}  \tag{8}\\
\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{t}_{\gamma} \tau_{2} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}}  \tag{9}\\
\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\gamma}=-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot\left(\tau_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+ \\
+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot\left(\tau_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to insure that $\mathrm{y}_{1 \alpha}=\mathrm{y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\mathrm{y}_{2 \alpha}$ $=y_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}\right)$ present the two extremes in the same moment $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}\right)_{1}$ from (7) we have the conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}  \tag{11}\\
\tau_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the time constants $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ characterize the inertial-evolution of the curves $y_{1}(t)$ and $y_{2}(t)$. To insure at $t>t_{\beta}$ the condition $y^{\prime}(t)>0$, it is imposed that the decreasing speed for $y_{2}(t)$ to be less then the one for $y_{1}(t)$, that is $T_{2}>T_{1}$.

The initial conditions (IC) known from the experimental measurements are: $\mathrm{t}_{0}=0 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{00}$ $=1493.5 \mathrm{mV}, \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}=50 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=1303 \mathrm{mV}, \mathrm{t}_{\beta}=$ $155 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{00}, \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}=215 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=1523 \mathrm{mV}$, after that $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ decreases asymptotically towards $\mathrm{y}_{00}$. Introducing these IC in (6) and (9) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}-\mathrm{y}_{00}}{\mathrm{E}_{3}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}_{4}}{\mathrm{E}_{3}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\frac{y_{\gamma}-y_{00}}{E_{1}}+\frac{E_{2}}{E_{1}} \cdot A_{1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the intermediary expressions of calculus are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{2}}  \tag{15}\\
\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}  \tag{16}\\
\mathrm{E}_{3}=-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{E}_{1}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{y}_{00}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Introducing the results $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ in (6), (7) and (9) we have the three conditions that are necessary for $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ to go through the points $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}\right)$, for $\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)_{\alpha}=0$ and $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}\right)$.

In order to fulfill the other two conditions, that is $y_{\beta}=y_{00}$ and $\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)_{\gamma}=0$ solving the transcendent equations (8) and (10) with respect to the unknowns $\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $\left(T_{2}\right)$ is necessary. For this, the great volume of calculus has been avoided by using a procedure of iterative and successive incrementation of the time constants $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ in the usual limits $(0 \div 1000) \mathrm{ms}$, with the increment $\Delta \mathrm{T}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$. We have obtained a good compromise for $\mathrm{T}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~ms}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=190 \mathrm{~ms}$, resulting: $\tau_{1}=1.666667 ; \tau_{2}=0.2631579$; $\mathrm{A}_{1}=2.011715 ; \mathrm{A}_{2}=31.2965 ; \mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=1303 ;\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha}=0 ;$ $y_{\beta}=1494.375 ; y_{\gamma}=1523 ;\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)_{\gamma}=0.1389582$.
3. NUMERICAL SIMULATION (Coloşi T., et all., 2002)

### 3.1. The program YREDOX 3(4)

It insures the semi iterative calculus of the time constants $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ for known the initial conditions (IC): $\left(\mathrm{y}_{00}\right),\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}\right),\left(\mathrm{t}_{\beta}\right), \mathrm{y}_{\beta}=\mathrm{y}_{00},\left(\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}\right)$. $\left(T_{1}\right)$ is predetermined, then $\left(T_{2}\right)$ is being incremented, until the results become almost identical with the IC.
$10 \quad \mathrm{t}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{0} ; \Delta \mathrm{t}=1 ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=1000$;
$20 \quad \mathrm{y}_{00}=1493.5 ; \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}=50 ; \mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=1303 ; \mathrm{t}_{\beta}=155$;
$\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{00}, \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}=215 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=1523 \mathrm{mV} ; \mathrm{T}_{1}=1$;
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{T}_{1 \max }=100 ; \mathrm{T}_{2 \max }=300 ; \Delta \mathrm{T}=1$.
$30 \quad \tau_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}} ; \tau_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}$;
$40 \quad \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{1}} ;$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{3}=-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{E}_{1}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{y}_{00}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}} ;$
50
$A_{1}=\frac{y_{\gamma}-y_{00}}{E_{3}}-\frac{E_{4}}{E_{3}} ; A_{2}=\frac{y_{\gamma}-y_{00}}{E_{1}}+\frac{E_{2}}{E_{1}} \cdot A_{1}$
$\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}{ }^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{--\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}} ;$
$70 \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha}=-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot\left(\tau_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+$
$+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot\left(\tau_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} ;$

80
$y_{\beta}=y_{00}-A_{1} \mathrm{t}_{\beta}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{t_{\beta}}{T_{1}}}+A_{2} \mathrm{t}_{\beta}{ }^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\beta}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}} ;$
$\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}{ }^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{--\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}} ;$
$100 \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\gamma}=-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot\left(\tau_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{1}}+$
$+\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}^{\tau_{2}} \cdot\left(\tau_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{t}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{T}_{2}} ;$
110 Print: $\mathrm{T}_{1} ; \mathrm{T}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}_{1} ; \mathrm{A}_{2} ; \tau_{1} ; \tau_{2}$;
Print: $\mathrm{y}_{\alpha} ;\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha} ; \mathrm{y}_{\beta} ; \mathrm{y}_{\gamma} ;\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\gamma} ;$ STOP;
$130 \quad$ IF $\mathrm{T}_{2} \geq \mathrm{T}_{2 \max }$ go to 160 ;
$140 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{2}+\Delta \mathrm{T}$;
150 go to 30 ;
$160 \quad$ IF $\mathrm{T}_{1} \geq \mathrm{T}_{1 \text { max }}$ go to 190 ;
$170 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{T}$;
180 go to 30 ;
190 STOP;
200 END.
The results obtained at the program's line 110 represents the primary data for solving (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10), and the results obtained at the program's line 120 have to approximate as well as possible the initial conditions (IC).

### 3.2. The program YREDOX 5(6)

With the results obtained in the previous program we raise $y=y(t)$, which needs to approximate the experimental measurements as well as possible.
$10 \quad \mathrm{t}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{0} ; \Delta \mathrm{t}=1 ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=1000$;
$20 \quad \mathrm{y}_{00}=1493.5 ; \mathrm{t}_{\alpha}=50 ; \mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=1303 ; \mathrm{t}_{\beta}=155$;
$\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{00}, \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}=215 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=1523 \mathrm{mV}$;
$30 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}=30 ; \mathrm{T}_{2}=190 ; \tau_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}} ; \tau_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}} ; \mathrm{A}_{1}=$

$$
2.011715 ; \mathrm{A}_{2}=31.2965 ;
$$

$40 \quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{00}-\mathrm{A}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\tau_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\tau_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}}$;
$50 \quad$ Print $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}$; y ; STOP;
$60 \quad$ IF $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \geq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$ go to 90 ;
$70 \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}+\Delta \mathrm{t}$;
80 go to 40;
90 STOP;
100 END.

### 3.3. The results of the simulation

With the data obtained in the program's line 50 we complete the following comparative table.

Table 1: The experimental measurements and the measurements numerically simulated results

| Nr. | $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{ms})$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {exp }} \mathrm{mV}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\text {sim }}^{\mathrm{mV}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 0 | 1493.5 | 1493.5 |
| 2. | 10 | 1460.938 | 1481 |
| 3. | 20 | 1409.375 | 1403 |
| 4. | 30 | 1354.687 | 1344 |
| 5. | 40 | 1317.187 | 1312 |
| 6. | 50 | 1303.125 | 1303 |
| 7. | 60 | 1304.687 | 1310 |
| 8. | 70 | 1325 | 1328 |
| 9. | 80 | 1354.687 | 1351 |
| 10. | 90 | 1376.562 | 1376 |
| 11. | 100 | 1403.125 | 1401 |
| 12. | 110 | 1421.875 | 1424 |
| 13. | 120 | 1435.938 | 1444 |
| 14. | 130 | 1454.688 | 1462 |
| 15. | 140 | 1473.438 | 1477 |
| 16. | 150 | 1492.188 | 1489 |
| 17. | 160 | 1507.81 | 1499 |
| 18. | 170 | 1517.188 | 1506 |
| 19. | 180 | 1517.188 | 1512 |
| 20. | 190 | 1520.313 | 1517 |
| 21. | 200 | 1521.875 | 1520 |
| 22. | 210 | 1523.538 | 1522 |
| 23. | 220 | 1523.321 | 1526 |
| 23. | 250 | 1520.313 | 1524.6 |
| 24. | 300 | - | 1521 |
| 25. | 400 | - | 1512 |
| 26. | 500 | - | 1505 |
| 27. | 600 | - | 1500 |
| 28. | 700 | - | 1498 |
| 29. | 800 | - | 1496 |
| 30. | 900 | - | 1495 |
| 26 | 1000 | - | 1494.5 |

It can be observed the rigorous correspondence of the numerically simulated signal $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$, , with the signal $\mathrm{y}_{\text {exp }}(\mathrm{t})$ experimentally raised to $\mathrm{t}_{\alpha}=50 \mathrm{~ms}$, respectively $\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}=1303 \mathrm{mV}$ with $\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha}=0$ and at $\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}=215 \mathrm{~ms}$, for witch $\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}=1523 \mathrm{mV}$. At $t_{\beta}=155 \mathrm{~ms}$ we have $y_{\beta}=1494 \mathrm{mV}$, different from the experimental signal $y=y_{00}=1493,5 \mathrm{mV}$. Between those time intervals, the differences between $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ and $y_{\text {exp }}(t)$ remain negligible, and for $t>t_{\gamma}$, the evolution of $y(t)$ is asymptotically reaching $y_{00}=1493,5 \mathrm{mV}$.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

4.1. Analogical modelling and numerical simulation in report to time, for study the kinetic rapid redox
reaction $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, proposed in the relation (5) contains two opposed nonlinear exponential functions, that approximate quite well large categories of chemical processes.
4.2. The six degrees of freedom from the relation (5) that is $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right),\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ can assure a good flexibility for its adaptation in a quite large diversification of applications in this domain.
4.3. Rigorously respecting the conditions (6), (7) and (9) leads to exact values for $\left(y_{\alpha}\right),\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)_{\alpha}$ and $\left(y_{\gamma}\right)$ the semi iterative procedure of approximation of the time constants $\left(T_{1}\right)$ and ( $T_{2}$ ) assures a good interpolation of (5), besides the reference intervals $\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$, $\left(t_{\beta}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\gamma}\right)$, including for $\mathrm{t} \gg \mathrm{t}_{\gamma}$.
4.4. The program YREDOX 3(4), after declaring the initial conditions from the program's lines 10 and 20, calculates the amplitudes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ and approximates through a semi iterative procedure the time constants $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$. The program also assures a checking of the references in (5), through $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha}\right),\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\alpha},\left(\mathrm{y}_{\beta}\right),\left(\mathrm{y}_{\gamma}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)_{\gamma}$.
4.5. The program YREDOX 5(6), after declaring the initial conditions from the program's lines 10 and 20, and the calculus results $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right),\left(\tau_{1}\right),\left(\tau_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$, taken from YREDOX 3(4), declared in line 30, calculates the chronogram $y(t)$, with an extraction step $\Delta \mathrm{t}=1 \mathrm{msec}$ (that can be arbitrarily chosen).
4.6. The two programs from above are easy to be initialized and adopted for an even larger diversity, belonging to those categories of processes.


Fig. 2. Superposition experimental curve (■) to the simulated curve ( $\mathbf{(}$ )

It was simulating and modelling the kinetic of rapid redox reaction between $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (fig. 2). This method can present a good compromise, between theoretic rigorous formalism and possibilities sometimes very limited - of experimental methods of the evaluation.
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